Spin Polarization versus Color-Flavor-Locking in High Density Quark Matter

Yasuhiko Tsue[1,2], João da Providência[2], Constança Providência[2], Masatoshi Yamamura[3] and Henrik Bohr[4]

[1]*Physics Division, Faculty of Science, Kochi University, Kochi 780-8520, Japan*
[2]*Center for Computational Physics, Departamento de Física, Universidade de Coimbra, 3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal*
[3]*Department of Pure and Applied Physics, Faculty of Engineering Science, Kansai University, Suita 564-8680, Japan*
[4]*Department of Physics, B.307, Danish Technical University, DK-2800 Lyngby, Denmark*

arXiv:1409.6383v2 [hep-ph] 27 Nov 2014

It is shown that spin polarization with respect to each flavor in three-flavor quark matter occurs instead of the color-flavor locking at high baryon density by using the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model with four-point tensor-type interaction. Also, it is indicated that the order of phase transition between the color-flavor locked phase and the spin polarized phase is the first order by means of the second order perturbation theory.

§1. Introduction

One of recent interests about the physics governed by the quantum chromodynamics (QCD) may be to understand the structure of phase diagram on a plane with respect to, for example, temperature and baryon chemical potential or external magnetic field, isospin chemical potential and so forth.[1)] Especially, under extreme conditions such as high baryon density, it is interesting what phase is favorable and is realized. In the region with high baryon density and low temperature in the quark matter, it is believed that there exists the two-flavor color superconducting (2SC) phase or the color-flavor locked (CFL) phase.[2)] In the preceding study, it was indicated that the spin polarized phase may appear at high baryon density due to the pseudovector-type interaction between quarks.[3)] However, in the limit of the quark mass being zero, it has been shown that the spin polarized phase disappears.[4)]

In our preceding paper,[5)] it was shown that the quark spin polarized (SP) phase in two-flavor case is realized in the region of high baryon density by the use of the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio (NJL) model[6)] devised by four-point tensor-type interaction with chiral symmetry.[7)] Further, since 2SC phase may exist in two-flavor QCD at high baryon density, it is also investigated whether the quark spin polarized phase is realized or not against the 2SC phase. As a result, it was shown that the quark spin polarized phase is actually realized in the same two-flavor NJL model adding to the quark-pair interaction.[8)]

In this paper, a possibility of quark spin polarization for each flavor is investigated in the three-flavor case by using the NJL model with four-point tensor-type interaction. In three-flavor case, CFL phase may be realized at high baryon density.

typeset using $\mathcal{PTP}$TEX.cls ⟨Ver.0.9⟩

Thus, the quark-pairing interaction is introduced and it is investigated which phase, namely CFL phase or SP phase, is favorable energetically and is realized at high baryon density. Also, if both phases exist, it is necessary to discuss the order of phase transition between CFL and SP phase.

This paper is organized as follows: In the next section, the NJL-type model Hamiltonian is explained. In §3 with Appendix A, under the above-derived Hamiltonian, the CFL phase without the condensate of the quark spin polarization and/or the SP phase without color superconducting gap are discussed. In §4, numerical results are given and the realized phase in certain density regions is considered. In §5, based on the CFL phase and dealing with tensor-type interaction as a perturbation term, the order of phase transition from CFL to SP phase is discussed. Some expressions needed in the calculation of second order perturbation are given in Appendix B. The last section is devoted to a summary and concluding remarks.

§2. Hamiltonian showing three-flavor color superconductivity and spin polarization based on the NJL model

Let us start with the following NJL type Lagrangian density:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\psi} i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi - \frac{G}{4}(\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\lambda_k^f\psi)(\bar{\psi}\gamma_\mu\gamma_\nu\lambda_k^f\psi) + \frac{G_c}{2}(\bar{\psi}i\gamma_5\lambda_a^c\lambda_k^f\psi^C)(\bar{\psi}^C i\gamma_5\lambda_a^c\lambda_k^f\psi) \ , \quad (2.1)$$

where $\psi^C = C\bar{\psi}^T$ with $C = i\gamma^2\gamma^0$ being the charge conjugation operator. Also, λ_k^f and λ_a^c are the flavor and color $su(3)$ Gell-Mann matrices, respectively. Here, the NJL Lagrangian density contains other four point interaction parts which are not explicitly shown such as $G_0(\bar{\psi}\psi)(\bar{\psi}\psi)$ and is invariant under chiral transformation. However in this paper, some terms are omitted because we investigate only the condensates with respect to color-flavor-locking and the each quark-spin polarization in high density quark matter in the mean field approximation. For example, at high baryon density, the chiral condensate $\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle$ is equal to zero. Further, in three-flavor case, it is well known that the Kobayashi-Maskawa-'t Hooft (KMT) term[9] appears, which describes the $U_A(1)$-anomaly and is represented by the six-point interaction with determinant-type form in the NJL model. However, hereafter, since we adopt the mean field approximation, the KMT term only gives contributions as $\langle\bar{\psi}_u\psi_u\rangle\langle\bar{\psi}_d\psi_d\rangle\langle\bar{\psi}_s\psi_s\rangle$, $\langle\bar{\psi}_d\psi_d\rangle\langle\bar{\psi}_s\psi_s\rangle(\bar{\psi}_u\psi_u)$ and so on, where ψ_f represents the quark field with flavor f and $\langle\cdots\rangle$ represents the condensate. Thus, at high baryon density under investigation in this paper, there is no contribution of the KMT term because the chiral condensate $\langle\bar{\psi}_f\psi_f\rangle$ is zero. As for the quark masses, although the strange quark mass with 0.1 GeV is certainly non-zero compared with the up and down quark masses, we may safely ignore the strange quark mass at high baryon density with the quark chemical potential being 0.4 - 0.5 GeV under consideration in this paper. Thus, we ignore the quark mass term in (2.1).

Within the mean field approximation, the above Lagrangian density is expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}^{MF} = \bar{\psi} i\gamma^\mu \partial_\mu \psi + \mathcal{L}_T^{MF} + \mathcal{L}_c^{MF} \ ,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{L}_T^{MF} = -\sum_{k=3,8} F_k(\bar{\psi}\Sigma_3\lambda_k^f\psi) - \frac{1}{2G}\sum_{k=3,8} F_k^2 \ , \\
&\Sigma_3 = -i\gamma^1\gamma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_3 \end{pmatrix} \ , \\
&F_3 = -G\langle\bar{\psi}\Sigma_3\lambda_3^f\psi\rangle \ , \qquad F_8 = -G\langle\bar{\psi}\Sigma_3\lambda_8^f\psi\rangle \ , \\
&\quad \mathcal{F}_u = F_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}F_8 \ , \quad \mathcal{F}_d = -F_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}F_8 \ , \quad \mathcal{F}_s = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}F_8 \ , \\
&\mathcal{L}_c^{MF} = -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{(a,k)=\{2,5,7\}} \left(\left(\Delta_{ak}^*(\bar{\psi}^C i\gamma_5\lambda_a^c\lambda_k^f\psi) + h.c. \right) + \frac{1}{2G_C}|\Delta_{ak}|^2 \right) \ , \\
&\Delta_{ak} = -G_c\langle\bar{\psi}^C i\gamma_5\lambda_a^c\lambda_k^f\psi\rangle \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}
$$

where $h.c.$ represents the Hermitian conjugate term of the preceding one. Here, we used the Dirac representation for the Dirac gamma matrices and σ_3 represents the third component of the 2×2 Pauli spin matrices. The symbol $\langle\cdots\rangle$ represents the expectation value with respect to a vacuum state. The expectation values F_3 and F_8 correspond to the order parameter of the spin alignment which may lead to quark spin polarization. The expectation value Δ_{ak} corresponds to the quark-pair condensate which means the existence of the color superconducting phase if $\Delta_{ak} \neq 0$.

The mean field Hamiltonian density with quark chemical potential μ is easily obtained as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{H}_{MF} - \mu\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{K}_0 + \mathcal{H}_T^{MF} + \mathcal{H}_c^{MF} \ , \\
&\quad \mathcal{K}_0 = \bar{\psi}(-i\boldsymbol{\gamma}\cdot\boldsymbol{\nabla} - \mu\gamma_0)\psi \ , \\
&\quad \mathcal{H}_T^{MF} = -\mathcal{L}_T^{MF} \ , \qquad \mathcal{H}_c^{MF} = -\mathcal{L}_c^{MF}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}
$$

with $\mathcal{N} = \psi^\dagger\psi$. In the Dirac representation for the Dirac gamma matrices, the Hamiltonian matrix of the spin polarization part in $H_{MF}^{SP} = \int d^3\boldsymbol{x}\ (\mathcal{K}_0 + \mathcal{H}_T^{MF})$ is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{MF}^{SP} &= \boldsymbol{p}\cdot\boldsymbol{\alpha} + \mathcal{F}_\tau\beta\Sigma_3 \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{F}_\tau & 0 & p_3 & p_1 - ip_2 \\ 0 & -\mathcal{F}_\tau & p_1 + ip_2 & p_3 \\ p_3 & p_1 - ip_2 & -\mathcal{F}_\tau & 0 \\ p_1 + ip_2 & -p_3 & 0 & \mathcal{F}_\tau \end{pmatrix} \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}
$$

where $\alpha^i = \gamma^0\gamma^i$ and $\beta = \gamma^0$. Here, we define $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ as

$$
\mathcal{F}_\tau = \sum_{k=3,8} F_k\lambda_k^f = \left(F_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}F_8\right)\delta_{\tau u} + \left(-F_3 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}F_8\right)\delta_{\tau d} - \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}F_8\delta_{\tau s} \ . \tag{2.5}
$$

For good helicity states, this Hamiltonian matrix is easily diagonalized in the case $\mathcal{F}_\tau = 0$. For simplicity, we rotate around p_3 axis and we set $p_2 = 0$ without loss of

generality. In this case, we derive $\kappa = U^{-1} h^{SP}_{MF} U$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
U &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{p}} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{p+p_3} & \sqrt{p-p_3} & -\sqrt{p+p_3} & -\sqrt{p-p_3} \\ \frac{p_1}{|p_1|}\sqrt{p-p_3} & -\frac{p_1}{|p_1|}\sqrt{p+p_3} & -\frac{p_1}{|p_1|}\sqrt{p-p_3} & \frac{p_1}{|p_1|}\sqrt{p+p_3} \\ \sqrt{p+p_3} & -\sqrt{p-p_3} & \sqrt{p+p_3} & -\sqrt{p-p_3} \\ \frac{p_1}{|p_1|}\sqrt{p-p_3} & \frac{p_1}{|p_1|}\sqrt{p+p_3} & \frac{p_1}{|p_1|}\sqrt{p-p_3} & \frac{p_1}{|p_1|}\sqrt{p+p_3} \end{pmatrix} , \\
\kappa &= U^{-1} h^{SP}_{MF} U \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -p & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -p \end{pmatrix} + \frac{\mathcal{F}_\tau}{p} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & |p_1| & -p_3 & 0 \\ |p_1| & 0 & 0 & p_3 \\ -p_3 & 0 & 0 & |p_1| \\ 0 & p_3 & |p_1| & 0 \end{pmatrix} . \qquad (2.6)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, in the original basis rotated around p_3-axis, $|p_1|$ is replaced to $\sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}$. Thus, the many-body Hamiltonian can be expressed by means of the quark creation and annihilation operators as is seen later.

As for the Hamiltonian matrix of the color superconducting part, $H_c^{MF} = \int d^3\boldsymbol{x}\mathcal{H}_c^{MF}$, we can derive another expression by using the quark-creation and annihilation operators with respect to good helicity states, similarly to Ref.[8] As a result, in the basis of good helicity states, the relevant combination of the mean field Hamiltonian $H_{MF} = \int d^3\boldsymbol{x}\mathcal{H}_{MF}$ and the quark number $N = \int d^3\boldsymbol{x}\mathcal{N}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
H &= H_0 - \mu\hat{N} + V_{\rm SP} + V_{\rm CFL} + V\cdot\frac{1}{2G}(F_3^2+F_8^2) + V\cdot\frac{3\Delta^2}{2G_c} , \\
H_0 - \mu\hat{N} &= \sum_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}\Big[(|\boldsymbol{p}|-\mu)c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}c_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha} - (|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu)\tilde{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}\tilde{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}\Big] , \\
V_{\rm SP} &= \sum_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}\mathcal{F}_\tau\Big[\frac{\sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}}{|\boldsymbol{p}|}(c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}c_{\mathbf{p}-\eta\tau\alpha} + \tilde{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}\tilde{c}_{\mathbf{p}-\eta\tau\alpha}) \\
&\qquad\qquad -\eta\frac{p_3}{|\boldsymbol{p}|}(c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}\tilde{c}_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha} + \tilde{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}c_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha})\Big] , \\
V_{\rm CFL} &= \frac{\Delta}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{p}\eta}\sum_{\alpha\alpha'\alpha''}\sum_{\tau\tau'}(c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha\tau}c^\dagger_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha'\tau'} + c_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha'\tau'}c_{\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha\tau} \\
&\qquad\qquad +\tilde{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha\tau}\tilde{c}^\dagger_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha'\tau'} + \tilde{c}_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha'\tau'}\tilde{c}_{\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha\tau})\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha'\alpha''}\epsilon_{\tau\tau'\tau_{\alpha''}}\phi_p , \qquad (2.7)
\end{aligned}
$$

where V represents the volume in the box normalization. Here, $c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta\tau\alpha}$ and $\tilde{c}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta\tau\alpha}$ represent the quark and antiquark creation operators with momentum $\boldsymbol{p}$, helicity $\eta = \pm$, flavor index τ and color α. It should be noted that α $(= 1,2,3)$ and τ $(= u,d,s)$ represent color and flavor, respectively, in which especially we understand $\tau_1 = u$, $\tau_2 = d$ and $\tau_3 = s$. Hereafter, we will use $p \equiv (\boldsymbol{p},\eta)$ and $\bar{p} \equiv (-\boldsymbol{p},\eta)$ as abbreviated notations. Also, $\epsilon_{\tau\tau'\tau''}$ and $\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha'\alpha''}$ represent the complete antisymmetric tensor for the flavor and color indices. We define $p = \sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2+p_3^2}$, that is, the magnitude of momentum. *) In (2.7), the color and flavor are locked due to $V_{\rm CFL}$. Namely, the

*) Here, it is necessary to introduce ϕ_p in (2.7) for the character of fermion operator $c_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}$ and

combination $\langle c_{\bar{p}1u}c_{p2d}\rangle - \langle c_{\bar{p}1d}c_{p2u}\rangle$, $\langle c_{\bar{p}2d}c_{p3s}\rangle - \langle c_{\bar{p}2s}c_{p3d}\rangle$ and $\langle c_{\bar{p}3s}c_{p1u}\rangle - \langle c_{\bar{p}3u}c_{p1s}\rangle$ appear in the CFL condensate Δ, in which we define

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_{\alpha''\tau_{\alpha''}} &= G_c \sum_{\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha\alpha'} \sum_{\tau\tau'} \langle c_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha'\tau'} c_{\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha\tau}\rangle \epsilon_{\alpha\alpha'\alpha''}\epsilon_{\tau\tau'\tau_{\alpha''}}\phi_p \ , \\ \Delta &= \Delta_{1u} = \Delta_{2d} = \Delta_{3s} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

The symmetry $su(3)_{\rm CFL}$ remains because the symmetry breaking pattern is $su(3)_c \otimes su(3)_f \to su(3)_{\rm CFL}$.

§3. Color-flavor locked phase without spin polarization and spin polarized phase without color-flavor-locking

3.1. *Color-flavor locked phase without spin polarization*

Let us consider the case $F_k = 0$, which leads to the color superconductor without spin polarization.[10),11)] The Hamiltonian is expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\rm eff} &= H_0 - \mu\hat{N} + V_{\rm CFL} + V\cdot\frac{3\Delta^2}{2G_c} \ , \\ H_0 - \mu\hat{N} &= \sum_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha} \Big[(|\boldsymbol{p}| - \mu) c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha} c_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha} - (|\boldsymbol{p}| + \mu)\tilde{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}\tilde{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}\Big] \ , \\ V_{\rm CFL} &= \frac{\Delta}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{p}\eta}\sum_{\alpha\alpha'\alpha''}\sum_{\tau\tau'} (c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha\tau} c^\dagger_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha'\tau'} + c_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha'\tau'} c_{\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha\tau} \\ &\qquad + \tilde{c}^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha\tau}\tilde{c}^\dagger_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha'\tau'} + \tilde{c}_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha'\tau'}\tilde{c}_{\mathbf{p}\eta\alpha\tau})\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha'\alpha''}\epsilon_{\tau\tau'\tau_{\alpha''}}\phi_p \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Hereafter, we use an abbreviated notation $p = (\boldsymbol{p}, \eta)$ and $\bar{p} = (-\boldsymbol{p}, \eta)$. The commutation relations are calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} [\ H_{\rm eff}\ ,\ c_{p1u}\] &= -(\epsilon_{pu} - \mu)c_{p1u} - \Delta(c^\dagger_{\bar{p}2d} + c^\dagger_{\bar{p}3s})\phi_p \ , \\ [\ H_{\rm eff}\ ,\ c_{p2u}\] &= -(\epsilon_{pu} - \mu)c_{p2u} + \Delta c^\dagger_{\bar{p}1d}\phi_p \ , \\ [\ H_{\rm eff}\ ,\ c_{p3u}\] &= -(\epsilon_{pu} - \mu)c_{p3u} + \Delta c^\dagger_{\bar{p}1s}\phi_p \ , \\ [\ H_{\rm eff}\ ,\ c_{p1d}\] &= -(\epsilon_{pd} - \mu)c_{p1d} + \Delta c^\dagger_{\bar{p}2u}\phi_p \ , \\ [\ H_{\rm eff}\ ,\ c_{p2d}\] &= -(\epsilon_{pd} - \mu)c_{p2d} - \Delta(c^\dagger_{\bar{p}3s} + c^\dagger_{\bar{p}1u})\phi_p \ , \end{aligned}$$

$\tilde{c}_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}$. Namely, for example,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{p}\eta\{\alpha\}\{\tau\}} c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha} c^\dagger_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\tau'\alpha'}\phi_p\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha'\alpha''}\epsilon_{\tau\tau'\tau_{\alpha''}} &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{p}\eta\{\alpha\}\{\tau\}} (c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha} c^\dagger_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\tau'\alpha'}\phi_p + c^\dagger_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha} c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau'\alpha'}\phi_{\bar{p}})\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha'\alpha''}\epsilon_{\tau\tau'\tau_{\alpha''}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{p}\eta\{\alpha\}\{\tau\}} (c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha} c^\dagger_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\tau'\alpha'}\phi_p - c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau'\alpha'} c^\dagger_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha}\phi_{\bar{p}})\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha'\alpha''}\epsilon_{\tau\tau'\tau_{\alpha''}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\mathbf{p}\eta\{\alpha\}\{\tau\}} (c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha} c^\dagger_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\tau'\alpha'}\phi_p - c^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\eta\tau\alpha} c^\dagger_{-\mathbf{p}\eta\tau'\alpha'}\phi_{\bar{p}})\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha'\alpha''}\epsilon_{\tau\tau'\tau_{\alpha''}} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we find $\phi_p = -\phi_{\bar{p}}$ with $p = (\boldsymbol{p}, \eta)$ and $\bar{p} = (-\boldsymbol{p}, \eta)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\ H_{\rm eff}\ ,\ c_{p3d}\] &= -(\epsilon_{pd}-\mu)c_{p3d} + \Delta c^\dagger_{\bar{p}2s}\phi_p\ , \\
[\ H_{\rm eff}\ ,\ c_{p1s}\] &= -(\epsilon_{ps}-\mu)c_{p1s} + \Delta c^\dagger_{\bar{p}3u}\phi_p\ , \\
[\ H_{\rm eff}\ ,\ c_{p2s}\] &= -(\epsilon_{ps}-\mu)c_{p2s} + \Delta c^\dagger_{\bar{p}3d}\phi_p\ , \\
[\ H_{\rm eff}\ ,\ c_{p3s}\] &= -(\epsilon_{ps}-\mu)c_{p3s} - \Delta (c^\dagger_{\bar{p}1u}+c^\dagger_{\bar{p}2d})\phi_p\ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.2}
$$

where $\epsilon_{pu}=\epsilon_{pd}=\epsilon_{ps}=|\boldsymbol{p}|(=\epsilon_p)$. Thus, $(1u)$, $(2d)$ and $(3s)$ become combined with each other. Further, the sets $(2u,1d)$, $(3u,1s)$ and $(3d,2s)$ are mixed each other. First, let us consider the case $\varepsilon_{p\tau}>\mu$. Thus, for example, we are led to consider new operators such as the following one:

$$
d^\dagger_{p2u} = X_p c^\dagger_{p2u} + Y_p c_{\bar{p}1d}\ . \tag{3.3}
$$

Here, we demand that this operator should satisfy the following commutation relation in order to diagonalize the Hamiltonian $H_{\rm eff}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
[\ H_{\rm eff}\ ,\ d^\dagger_{p2u}\] &= [(\epsilon_{pu}-\mu)X_p - \Delta Y_p\phi_p]c^\dagger_{p2u} + [-(\epsilon_{pd}-\mu)Y_p - \Delta X_p\phi_p]c_{\bar{p}1d} \\
&\equiv \omega\ d^\dagger_{p2u}\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.4}
$$

Then, X_p and Y_p are determined from the following equation:

$$
\begin{pmatrix} \epsilon_p-\mu & -\Delta\phi_p \\ -\Delta\phi_p & -(\epsilon_p-\mu) \end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix} X_p \\ Y_p \end{pmatrix} = \omega \begin{pmatrix} X_p \\ Y_p \end{pmatrix}\ . \tag{3.5}
$$

As a result, we can derive the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_p &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[1+\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+\Delta^2}}\right]^{1/2}\ , \qquad Y_p = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[1-\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+\Delta^2}}\right]^{1/2}\phi_p\ , \\
\omega_p &= \sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+\Delta^2}\ , \qquad \bar{\epsilon}_p = \epsilon_p-\mu\ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.6}
$$

Similarly, we can introduce new operators and the results are summarized as follows for $|\boldsymbol{p}|>\mu$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
d^\dagger_{p;1} &= x_p^{(1)}(c^\dagger_{p1u}+c^\dagger_{p2d}+c^\dagger_{p3s}) + y_p^{(1)}(c_{\bar{p}1u}+c_{\bar{p}2d}+c_{\bar{p}3s})\ , \\
x_p^{(1)} &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left[1+\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+4\Delta^2}}\right]^{1/2}\ ,, \qquad y_p^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left[1-\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+4\Delta^2}}\right]^{1/2}\cdot\phi_p\ , \\
\omega_1 &= \sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+4\Delta^2}\ , \\
d^\dagger_{p;2} &= x_p^{(2)}(c^\dagger_{p1u}-c^\dagger_{p2d}) + y_p^{(2)}(c_{\bar{p}1u}-c_{\bar{p}2d})\ , \\
x_p^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2}\left[1+\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+\Delta^2}}\right]^{1/2}\ , \quad y_p^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2}\left[1-\frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+\Delta^2}}\right]^{1/2}\cdot\phi_p\ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_2 &= \sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2} \ , \\
d^\dagger_{p;3} &= x^{(3)}_p (c^\dagger_{p1u} + c^\dagger_{p2d} - 2c^\dagger_{p3s}) + y^{(3)}_p (c_{\bar{p}1u} + c_{\bar{p}2d} - 2c_{\bar{p}3s}) \ , \\
x^{(3)}_p &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}} \right]^{1/2} \ , \quad y^{(3)}_p = -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}} \left[1 - \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}} \right]^{1/2} \cdot \phi_p \ , \\
\omega_3 &= \sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2} \ , \\
d_{p;4} &= d^\dagger_{p2u} = X_p c^\dagger_{p2u} + Y_p c_{\bar{p}1d} \ , \qquad d_{p;5} = d^\dagger_{p1d} = X_p c^\dagger_{p1d} + Y_p c_{\bar{p}2u} \ , \\
d_{p;6} &= d^\dagger_{p3u} = X_p c^\dagger_{p3u} + Y_p c_{\bar{p}1s} \ , \qquad d_{p;7} = d^\dagger_{p1s} = X_p c^\dagger_{p1s} + Y_p c_{\bar{p}3u} \ , \\
d_{p;8} &= d^\dagger_{p3d} = X_p c^\dagger_{p3d} + Y_p c_{\bar{p}2s} \ , \qquad d_{p;9} = d^\dagger_{p2s} = X_p c^\dagger_{p2s} + Y_p c_{\bar{p}3d} \ , \\
X_p &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}} \right]^{1/2} \ , \quad Y_p = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[1 - \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}} \right]^{1/2} \cdot \phi_p \ , \\
\omega &= \sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2} \ ,
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.7}
$$

where $\bar{\epsilon}_p = |\boldsymbol{p}| - \mu$, $y^{(i)}_{\bar{p}} = -y^{(i)}_p$ and $Y_{\bar{p}} = -Y_p$. Inversely, we can derive the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c^\dagger_{p1u} &= x^{(1)}_p d^\dagger_{p;1} + x^{(2)}_p d^\dagger_{p;2} + x^{(3)}_p d^\dagger_{p;3} - (y^{(1)}_p d_{\bar{p};1} + y^{(2)}_p d_{\bar{p};2} + y^{(3)}_p d_{\bar{p};3}) \ , \\
c^\dagger_{p2d} &= x^{(1)}_p d^\dagger_{p;1} - x^{(2)}_p d^\dagger_{p;2} + x^{(3)}_p d^\dagger_{p;3} - (y^{(1)}_p d_{\bar{p};1} - y^{(2)}_p d_{\bar{p};2} + y^{(3)}_p d_{\bar{p};3}) \ , \\
c^\dagger_{p3s} &= x^{(1)}_p d^\dagger_{p;1} - 2x^{(3)}_p d^\dagger_{p;3} - (y^{(1)}_p d_{\bar{p};1} - 2y^{(3)}_p d_{\bar{p};3}) \ , \\
c^\dagger_{p2u} &= X_p d^\dagger_{p2u} - Y_p d_{\bar{p}1d} \ , \quad c^\dagger_{p1d} = X_p d^\dagger_{p1d} - Y_p d_{\bar{p}2u} \ , \quad c^\dagger_{p3u} = X_p d^\dagger_{p3u} - Y_p d_{\bar{p}1s} \ , \\
c^\dagger_{p1s} &= X_p d^\dagger_{p1s} - Y_p d_{\bar{p}3u} \ , \quad c^\dagger_{p3d} = X_p d^\dagger_{p3d} - Y_p d_{\bar{p}2s} \ , \quad c^\dagger_{p2s} = X_p d^\dagger_{p2s} - Y_p d_{\bar{p}3d} \ .
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.8}
$$

As for $\epsilon_p < \mu$ with $\epsilon_p = |\boldsymbol{p}|$, we can introduce the new operators as is similar to the case of $\epsilon_p > \mu$. The new operators, $\bar{d}_{\bar{p};i}$, satisfy the diagonalized commutation relations such as

$$
[\ H_{\rm eff}\ ,\ \bar{d}_{\bar{p};i}\] = -\omega_i \bar{d}_{\bar{p};i} \ , \tag{3.9}
$$

where $\omega_4 = \omega_5 = \cdots = \omega_9 = \omega$. Then, the new operators can be derived as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{d}_{\bar{p};1} &= \bar{x}^{(1)}_p (c^\dagger_{p1u} + c^\dagger_{p2d} + c^\dagger_{p3s}) + \bar{y}^{(1)}_p (c_{\bar{p}1u} + c_{\bar{p}2d} + c_{\bar{p}3s}) \ , \\
\bar{x}^{(1)}_p &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left[1 - \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + 4\Delta^2}} \right]^{1/2} \ , \quad \bar{y}^{(1)}_p = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left[1 + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + 4\Delta^2}} \right]^{1/2} \cdot \phi_p \ , \\
\omega_1 &= \sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + 4\Delta^2} \ , \\
\bar{d}_{\bar{p};2} &= \bar{x}^{(2)}_p (c^\dagger_{p1u} - c^\dagger_{p2d}) + \bar{y}^{(2)}_p (c_{\bar{p}1u} - c_{\bar{p}2d}) \ ,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$\bar{x}_p^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\left[1 - \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}}\right]^{1/2} , \quad \bar{y}_p^{(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\left[1 + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}}\right]^{1/2} \cdot \phi_p ,$$

$$\omega_2 = \sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2} ,$$

$$\bar{d}_{\bar{p};3} = \bar{x}_p^{(3)}(c_{p1u}^\dagger + c_{p2d}^\dagger - 2c_{p3s}^\dagger) + \bar{y}_p^{(3)}(c_{\bar{p}1u} + c_{\bar{p}2d} - 2c_{\bar{p}3s}) ,$$

$$\bar{x}_p^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[1 - \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}}\right]^{1/2} , \quad \bar{y}_p^{(3)} = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{3}}\left[1 + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}}\right]^{1/2} \cdot \phi_p ,$$

$$\omega_3 = \sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2} ,$$

$$\bar{d}_{\bar{p};4} = \bar{d}_{\bar{p}2u} = \bar{X}_p c_{p2u}^\dagger + \bar{Y}_p c_{\bar{p}1d} , \qquad \bar{d}_{\bar{p};5} = \bar{d}_{\bar{p}1d} = \bar{X}_p c_{p1d}^\dagger + \bar{Y}_p c_{\bar{p}2u} ,$$

$$\bar{d}_{\bar{p};6} = \bar{d}_{\bar{p}3u} = \bar{X}_p c_{p3u}^\dagger + \bar{Y}_p c_{\bar{p}1s} , \qquad \bar{d}_{\bar{p};7} = \bar{d}_{\bar{p}1s} = \bar{X}_p c_{p1s}^\dagger + \bar{Y}_p c_{\bar{p}3u} ,$$

$$\bar{d}_{\bar{p};8} = \bar{d}_{\bar{p}3d} = \bar{X}_p c_{p3d}^\dagger + \bar{Y}_p c_{\bar{p}2s} , \qquad \bar{d}_{\bar{p};9} = \bar{d}_{\bar{p}2s} = \bar{X}_p c_{p2s}^\dagger + \bar{Y}_p c_{\bar{p}3d} ,$$

$$\bar{X}_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[1 - \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}}\right]^{1/2} , \quad \bar{Y}_p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[1 + \frac{\bar{\epsilon}_p}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}}\right]^{1/2} \cdot \phi_p ,$$

$$\omega = \sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2} . \tag{3.10}$$

The following inverse relations are obtained:

$$c_{p1u}^\dagger = \bar{x}_p^{(1)}\bar{d}_{\bar{p};1} + \bar{x}_p^{(2)}\bar{d}_{\bar{p};2} + \bar{x}_p^{(3)}\bar{d}_{\bar{p};3} - (\bar{y}_p^{(1)}\bar{d}_{p;1}^\dagger + \bar{y}_p^{(2)}\bar{d}_{p;2}^\dagger + \bar{y}_p^{(3)}\bar{d}_{p;3}^\dagger) ,$$

$$c_{p2d}^\dagger = \bar{x}_p^{(1)}\bar{d}_{\bar{p};1} - \bar{x}_p^{(2)}\bar{d}_{\bar{p};2} + \bar{x}_p^{(3)}\bar{d}_{\bar{p};3} - (\bar{y}_p^{(1)}\bar{d}_{p;1}^\dagger - \bar{y}_p^{(2)}\bar{d}_{p;2}^\dagger + \bar{y}_p^{(3)}\bar{d}_{p;3}^\dagger) ,$$

$$c_{p3s}^\dagger = \bar{x}_p^{(1)}\bar{d}_{\bar{p};1} - 2\bar{x}_p^{(3)}\bar{d}_{\bar{p};3} - (\bar{y}_p^{(1)}\bar{d}_{p;1}^\dagger - 2\bar{y}_p^{(3)}\bar{d}_{p;3}^\dagger) ,$$

$$c_{p2u}^\dagger = \bar{X}_p\bar{d}_{\bar{p}2u} - \bar{Y}_p\bar{d}_{p1d}^\dagger , \quad c_{p1d}^\dagger = \bar{X}_p\bar{d}_{\bar{p}1d} - \bar{Y}_p\bar{d}_{p2u}^\dagger , \quad c_{p3u}^\dagger = \bar{X}_p\bar{d}_{\bar{p}3u} - \bar{Y}_p\bar{d}_{p1s}^\dagger ,$$

$$c_{p1s}^\dagger = \bar{X}_p\bar{d}_{\bar{p}1s} - \bar{Y}_p\bar{d}_{p3u}^\dagger , \quad c_{p3d}^\dagger = \bar{X}_p\bar{d}_{\bar{p}3d} - \bar{Y}_p\bar{d}_{p2s}^\dagger , \quad c_{p2s}^\dagger = \bar{X}_p\bar{d}_{\bar{p}2s} - \bar{Y}_p\bar{d}_{p3d}^\dagger . \tag{3.11}$$

By using the above operators, we rewrite $H_{\rm eff}$ as is shown in (A·1) in appendix. Noting X_p etc. in (3.7) and (3.10), we finally obtain the following diagonalized many-body Hamiltonian without spin polarization:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\rm eff} = {} & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p>\mu)}\left[9\bar{\epsilon}_p - \sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + 4\Delta^2} - 8\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}\right] \\ & + \sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p>\mu)}\left[\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + 4\Delta^2}\,d_{p;1}^\dagger d_{p;1} + \sum_{a=2}^{9}\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}\,d_{p;a}^\dagger d_{p;a}\right] \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p<\mu)}\left[9\bar{\epsilon}_p - \sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + 4\Delta^2} - 8\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 + \Delta^2}\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+\sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p<\mu)}\left[\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+4\Delta^2}\bar{d}^\dagger_{p;1}\bar{d}_{p;1}+\sum_{a=2}^{9}\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+\Delta^2}\bar{d}^\dagger_{p;a}\bar{d}_{p;a}\right]+V\cdot\frac{3\Delta^2}{2G_c}\ . \quad (3.12)$$

Next, let us derive and solve the gap equation for CFL phase with $F_3=F_8=0$ to obtain Δ. The vacuum state is written as $|\Phi\rangle$ which is a vacuum with respect to the quasi-particle operators $d_{p;a}$ and $\bar{d}_{p;a}$:

$$d_{p;a}|\Phi\rangle=\bar{d}_{p;a}|\Phi\rangle=0\ . \quad (3.13)$$

Thus, the thermodynamic potential Φ_0 can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_0&=\frac{1}{V}\cdot\langle\Phi|H_{\rm eff}|\Phi\rangle\\&=\frac{1}{2V}\sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p>\mu)}\left[9\bar{\epsilon}_p-\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+4\Delta^2}-8\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+\Delta^2}\right]\\&\quad+\frac{1}{2V}\sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p<\mu)}\left[9\bar{\epsilon}_p-\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+4\Delta^2}-8\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+\Delta^2}\right]+\frac{3\Delta^2}{2G_c}\ .\end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

The gap equation, $\partial\Phi_0/\partial\Delta=0$, can be expressed as

$$\Delta\left(\frac{3}{G_c}-\int^{\Lambda}\frac{d^3\boldsymbol{p}}{(2\pi)^3}\left(\frac{4}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+4\Delta^2}}+\frac{8}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}_p^2+\Delta^2}}\right)\right)=0\ , \quad (3.15)$$

where Λ represents a three-momentum cutoff. Here, the helicity $\eta=\pm1$ is considered which gives a factor 2. Namely, the above gap equation with $\Delta\neq0$ is written as

$$1=\frac{2G_c}{3\pi^2}\int_0^{\Lambda}dp\ p^2\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{(p-\mu)^2+4\Delta^2}}+\frac{2}{\sqrt{(p-\mu)^2+\Delta^2}}\right)\ , \quad (3.16)$$

where $p=|\boldsymbol{p}|$. Solving (3.16) with respect to Δ and substituting its solution into (3.14), the thermodynamic potential (3.14) is obtained:

$$\Phi_0=\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\int_0^{\Lambda}dp\ p^2\left(9(p-\mu)-\sqrt{(p-\mu)^2+4\Delta^2}-8\sqrt{(p-\mu)^2+\Delta^2}\right)+\frac{3\Delta^2}{2G_c}\ . \quad (3.17)$$

The right-hand sides of Eqs.(3.16) and (3.17) can be analytically expressed by using the following formulae:

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^{\Lambda}dp\frac{p^2}{\sqrt{(p-\mu)^2+c\Delta^2}}&=\left[\frac{p+3\mu}{2}\sqrt{p^2-2\mu p+\mu^2+c\Delta^2}\right]_0^{\Lambda}\\&\quad+\left[\left(\mu^2-\frac{c\Delta^2}{2}\right)\ln\left|2p-2\mu+2\sqrt{p^2-2\mu p+\mu^2+c\Delta^2}\right|\right]_0^{\Lambda},\\\int_0^{\Lambda}dp\ p^2\sqrt{(p-\mu)^2+c\Delta^2}&=\left[\frac{3p+5\mu}{12}(p^2-2\mu p+\mu^2+c\Delta^2)^{3/2}\right]_0^{\Lambda}\end{aligned}$$

$$+\left[\frac{4\mu^2-c\Delta^2}{8}\left((p-\mu)\sqrt{p^2-2\mu p+\mu^2+c\Delta^2}\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left.+c\Delta^2\ln\left|2p-2\mu+2\sqrt{p^2-2\mu p+\mu^2+c\Delta^2}\right|\right)\right]_0^\Lambda . \quad (3.18)$$

Of course, if $\Delta=0$, the thermodynamic potential is given by

$$\Phi_0(\Delta=0)=-\frac{3\mu^4}{8\pi^2}\ . \quad (3.19)$$

3.2. *Spin polarized phase without color superconducting gap Δ*

In this subsection, we derive the thermodynamic potential with $\Delta=0$. In this case, it is only necessary to diagonalize the Hamiltonian matrix (2.6), namely,

$$\kappa=\begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & p & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & -p & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -p\end{pmatrix}+\frac{\mathcal{F}_\tau}{p}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2} & -p_3 & 0\\ \sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2} & 0 & 0 & p_3\\ -p_3 & 0 & 0 & \sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}\\ 0 & p_3 & \sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2} & 0\end{pmatrix}$$
$$=\begin{pmatrix} q & e_\tau & -g_\tau & 0\\ e_\tau & q & 0 & g_\tau\\ -g_\tau & 0 & -q & e_\tau\\ 0 & g_\tau & e_\tau & -q\end{pmatrix}\ , \quad (3.20)$$

where $q=p$, $e_\tau=\mathcal{F}_\tau\sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}/p$ and $g_\tau=\mathcal{F}_\tau p_3/p$. The eigenvalues of κ are easily obtained as

$$\pm\,\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{p}\tau}^{(\eta)}=\pm\sqrt{g_\tau^2+(e_\tau+\eta q)^2}=\pm\sqrt{p_3^2+\left(\mathcal{F}_\tau+\eta\sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}\right)^2}\ , \quad (3.21)$$

where $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ are defined in (2.5) or (2.2). Since the Hamiltonian is diagonalized, the thermodynamic potential with $\Delta=0$, which is written as Φ_F, can be easily obtained as

$$\Phi_F=3\frac{1}{V}\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}}\sum_{\eta=\pm}\sum_{\tau=u,d,s}(\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{p}\tau}^{(\eta)}-\mu)\theta(\mu-\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{p}\tau}^{(\eta)})+\frac{1}{2G}(F_3^2+F_8^2)\ , \quad (3.22)$$

where the factor 3 represents the degree of freedom of color. Here, the sum with respect to the momentum should be replaced to the integration of momentum: $(1/V)\cdot\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}}\to\int d^3\boldsymbol{p}$. Hereafter, we assume $|\mathcal{F}_\tau|<\mu$ because we are interested in the phase transition from CFL phase to SP phase. In the case $0\le\mathcal{F}_\tau<\mu$, the ranges of integration are obtained as

for $\eta=-1$,

$$0\le p_\perp=\sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}\le\mathcal{F}_\tau+\mu\ ,\quad -\sqrt{\mu^2-(\mathcal{F}_\tau-p_\perp)^2}\le p_3\le\sqrt{\mu^2-(\mathcal{F}_\tau-p_\perp)^2}\ ,$$

for $\eta=1$,

$$0\le p_\perp=\sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}\le\mu-\mathcal{F}_\tau\ ,\quad -\sqrt{\mu^2-(\mathcal{F}_\tau+p_\perp)^2}\le p_3\le\sqrt{\mu^2-(\mathcal{F}_\tau+p_\perp)^2}\ . \quad (3.23)$$

In the case $-\mu < \mathcal{F}_\tau \leq 0$, the ranges of integration are obtained as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{for} \quad \eta = -1, \\
&\quad 0 \leq p_\perp = \sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} \leq \mu - |\mathcal{F}_\tau| \ , \quad -\sqrt{\mu^2 - (|\mathcal{F}_\tau| + p_\perp)^2} \leq p_3 \leq \sqrt{\mu^2 - (|\mathcal{F}_\tau| + p_\perp)^2} \ , \\
&\text{for} \quad \eta = 1, \\
&\quad 0 \leq p_\perp = \sqrt{p_1^2 + p_2^2} \leq |\mathcal{F}_\tau| + \mu \ , \quad -\sqrt{\mu^2 - (|\mathcal{F}_\tau| - p_\perp)^2} \leq p_3 \leq \sqrt{\mu^2 - (|\mathcal{F}_\tau| - p_\perp)^2} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

Regardless of the sign of $\mathcal{F}_\tau$, positive or negative, $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ is regarded as the absolute value of $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ since we consider both $\eta = 1$ and $\eta = -1$. Thus, from (3.22) and (3.23) or (3.24), the thermodynamic potential can be expressed as is similar to Eq.(29) in Ref.[8)]

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_F = &\frac{1}{2G}(F_3^2 + F_8^2) \\
&+\frac{3}{2\pi^2}\sum_\tau \left[\int_0^{\mu - |\mathcal{F}_\tau|} dp_\perp \ p_\perp \int_0^{\sqrt{\mu^2 - (|\mathcal{F}_\tau| + p_\perp)^2}} dp_3 \left(\sqrt{p_3^2 + (|\mathcal{F}_\tau| + p_\perp)^2} - \mu \right) \right. \\
&\qquad \left. + \int_0^{\mu + |\mathcal{F}_\tau|} dp_\perp \ p_\perp \int_0^{\sqrt{\mu^2 - (|\mathcal{F}_\tau| - p_\perp)^2}} dp_3 \left(\sqrt{p_3^2 + (|\mathcal{F}_\tau| - p_\perp)^2} - \mu \right) \right] \\
= &\frac{1}{2G}(F_3^2 + F_8^2) \\
&-\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\sum_\tau \left[\frac{\sqrt{\mu^2 - \mathcal{F}_\tau^2}}{4}(3\mathcal{F}_\tau^2\mu + 2\mu^3) + \mathcal{F}_\tau \mu^3 \arctan\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}_\tau}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - \mathcal{F}_\tau^2}} \right) \right. \\
&\qquad \left. - \frac{\mathcal{F}_\tau^4}{8} \ln \left(\frac{(\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - \mathcal{F}_\tau^2})^2}{\mathcal{F}_\tau^2} \right) \right] \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

where $\mathcal{F}_u = F_3 + F_8/\sqrt{3}$, $\mathcal{F}_d = -F_3 + F_8/\sqrt{3}$ and $\mathcal{F}_s = -2F_8/\sqrt{3}$. The simultaneous gap equations with respect to F_3 and F_8 are obtained through $\partial\Phi_F/\partial F_3 = 0$ and $\partial\Phi_F/\partial F_8 = 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \Phi_F}{\partial F_k} = &\frac{F_k}{G} - \frac{1}{2\pi^2}\sum_{\tau=u,d,s} \left(2\mathcal{F}_\tau \mu \sqrt{\mu^2 - \mathcal{F}_\tau^2} + \mu^3 \arctan\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}_\tau}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - \mathcal{F}_\tau^2}} \right) \right. \\
&\qquad \left. - \frac{\mathcal{F}_\tau^3}{2} \ln \left(\frac{(\mu + \sqrt{\mu^2 - \mathcal{F}_\tau^2})^2}{\mathcal{F}_\tau^2} \right) \right) \cdot \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_\tau}{\partial F_k} \\
= &\ 0 \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where $k = 3$ or 8 and $\partial\mathcal{F}_u/\partial F_3 = 1$, $\partial\mathcal{F}_d/\partial F_3 = -1$, $\partial\mathcal{F}_s/\partial F_3 = 0$, $\partial\mathcal{F}_u/\partial F_8 = 1/\sqrt{3}$, $\partial\mathcal{F}_d/\partial F_8 = 1/\sqrt{3}$ and $\partial\mathcal{F}_s/\partial F_8 = -2/\sqrt{3}$. Inserting the solutions of above simultaneous gap equations into the thermodynamic potential Φ_F, we can estimate Φ_F, which should be compared with Φ_0 in (3.17). By comparing (3.25) with (3.17), the realized phase is determined.

§4. Numerical results

Let us calculate the thermodynamic potential Φ_0 with $F_k = 0$ in (3.14) and Φ_F with $\Delta = 0$ in (3.22) or (3.25) numerically. If $\Phi_F < \Phi_0$, the SP phase is realized. However, in rather smaller μ, the CFL phase should be realized. The numerical results are summarized in Table I. We adopt the parameters as $\Lambda = 0.631$ GeV, $G_c = 6.6$ GeV^{-2} and $G = 20$ GeV^{-2}. As is seen in Table I, in the region of $\mu \leq 0.45$ GeV, $\Phi_0 < \Phi_F$ is satisfied and the CFL phase is realized. At $\mu = 0.4558$ GeV $(= \mu_c)$, $\Phi_0 \approx \Phi_F$ is satisfied. Thus, the phase transition may occur. In the region of $\mu \geq 0.46$ GeV, $\Phi_0 > \Phi_F$ is satisfied and the realized phase is the SP phase.

As for the solutions of the simultaneous gap equations in (3.26), it seems that a relation $F_3 \approx \sqrt{3}F_8$ may be satisfied. If $F_3 = \sqrt{3}F_8$, the relation $\mathcal{F}_d = \mathcal{F}_s (= -2F_3/3)$ is derived. In this case, $\mathcal{F}_u = 4F_3/3 (= -2\mathcal{F}_d)$. As for another case, for example, $F_3 = 0$ and $F_8 \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{F}_u = \mathcal{F}_d$ and $\mathcal{F}_s = -2\mathcal{F}_u$ are satisfied. So, another local minimum may be obtained at $(F_3 = 0,\ F_8)$.

Of course, the pressure p_A can be expressed by the thermodynamic potential Φ_A through the thermodynamical relation:

$$p_A = -\Phi_A \ . \tag{4.1}$$

In Fig.1, the pressures of the CFL phase and the SP phase are depicted as a function of the chemical potential μ with a comparison of that of the free quark matter. In $\mu < \mu_c$ $(\mu > \mu_c)$, the pressure of CFL phase is larger (smaller) than that of SP phase. Thus, the realized phase is CFL (SP) phase.

Also, the quark number density ρ_q can be derived from the thermodynamic potential by thermodynamical relation:

$$\rho_q = -\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \mu} \ . \tag{4.2}$$

The baryon number density ρ_B can be expressed as $\rho_B = \rho_q/3$. In Table II, we summarize the baryon density and baryon density divided by the normal nuclear density $\rho_0 = 0.17$ fm^{-3}.

Table I. Numerical results

μ/GeV	Δ/GeV	Φ_0/GeV^4	F_3/GeV	F_8/GeV	Φ_F/GeV^4
0.40	0.042640	−0.0020336	0	0	−0.0019454
0.41	0.045135	−0.0022509	0.048509	0.028007	−0.0021481
0.42	0.047509	−0.0024845	0.098544	0.056895	−0.0023753
0.43	0.049748	−0.0027352	0.136983	0.079087	−0.0026327
0.44	0.051841	−0.0030034	0.170908	0.098674	−0.0029245
0.45	0.053774	−0.0032897	0.202345	0.116824	−0.0032542
0.4558	0.054817	−0.0034643	0.219828	0.126918	−0.0034643
0.46	0.055536	−0.0035947	0.232234	0.134080	−0.0036256
0.47	0.057116	−0.0039189	0.261119	0.150757	−0.0040423
0.48	0.058502	−0.0042627	0.289365	0.167065	−0.0045084
0.49	0.059681	−0.0046267	0.317255	0.183167	−0.0050277
0.50	0.060640	−0.0050113	0.345041	0.199210	−0.0056046




Fig. 1. The pressures for ($\Delta \neq 0, F_3 = F_8 = 0$) (CFL), ($\Delta = 0, F_3 \neq 0, F_8 \neq 0$) (SP) and free quark gas (free) are depicted as functions of the quark chemical potential μ.

Finally, let us estimate the spin polarization. Here, we consider the helicity instead of spin. The quark number density for the flavor τ and helicity η can be derived as

$$n_\tau^{(\eta)} = 3 \int \frac{d^3\boldsymbol{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \theta(\mu - \epsilon_{\boldsymbol{p}\tau}^{(\eta)}) \ , \tag{4.3}$$

where the factor 3 means color degree of freedom. First, in the case of $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ being positive and $0 < \mathcal{F}_\tau < \mu$, the particle number density with helicity $\pm$, $n_{\tau>}^{(\pm)}$, can be calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} n_{\tau>}^{(+)} &= \frac{3}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{\mu-\mathcal{F}_\tau} dp_\perp p_\perp \int_0^{\sqrt{\mu^2-(\mathcal{F}_\tau+p_\perp)^2}} dp_3 \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \left[\sqrt{\mu^2 - \mathcal{F}_\tau^2}(\mathcal{F}_\tau^2 + 2\mu^2) + 3\mathcal{F}_\tau \mu^2 \arctan \frac{\mathcal{F}_\tau}{\sqrt{\mu^2 - \mathcal{F}_\tau^2}} - \frac{3\mathcal{F}_\tau \mu^2 \pi}{2} \right] \ , \end{aligned}$$

Table II. Numerical results

μ/GeV	$\rho_B(F=0)/\mathrm{fm}^{-3}$	$\rho_B(F=0)/\rho_0$	p_0/GeV^4	$\rho_B(\Delta=0)/\mathrm{fm}^{-3}$	$\rho_B(\Delta=0)/\rho_0$	p_F/GeV^4
0.40	2.73681	5.36630	0.0020336			
0.41	2.94752	5.77946	0.0022509	2.79121	5.47297	0.0021481
0.42	3.16606	6.20796	0.0024845	3.16045	6.19697	0.0023753
0.43	3.39217	6.65131	0.0027352	3.58384	7.02714	0.0016327
0.44	3.62561	7.10904	0.0030034	4.05631	7.95354	0.0029245
0.45	3.86614	7.58067	0.0032897	4.57688	8.97428	0.0032542
0.4558	4.00881	7.8604	0.0034643	4.90099	9.60978	0.0034643
0.46	4.11353	8.06574	0.0035947	5.14599	10.0902	0.0036256
0.47	4.36753	8.56379	0.0039189	5.76482	11.3036	0.0040423
0.48	4.62793	9.07438	0.0042627	6.43516	12.618	0.0045084
0.49	4.8945	9.59706	0.0046267	7.1594	14.038	0.0050277
0.50	5.16702	10.1314	0.0050113	7.94077	15.5701	0.0056046

$$n_{\tau>}^{(-)} = \frac{3}{2\pi^2}\int_0^{\mu+\mathcal{F}_\tau} dp_\perp p_\perp \int_0^{\sqrt{\mu^2-(\mathcal{F}_\tau-p_\perp)^2}} dp_3$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\left[\sqrt{\mu^2-\mathcal{F}_\tau^2}(\mathcal{F}_\tau^2+2\mu^2) + 3\mathcal{F}_\tau\mu^2 \arctan\frac{\mathcal{F}_\tau}{\sqrt{\mu^2-\mathcal{F}_\tau^2}} + \frac{3\mathcal{F}_\tau\mu^2\pi}{2}\right] . \quad (4.4)$$

On the other hand, in the case $-\mu < \mathcal{F}_\tau < 0$, the particle number density $n_{\tau<}^{(\eta)}$ is calculated as

$$n_{\tau<}^{(+)} = \frac{3}{2\pi^2}\int_0^{\mu+|\mathcal{F}_\tau|} dp_\perp p_\perp \int_0^{\sqrt{\mu^2-(|\mathcal{F}_\tau|-p_\perp)^2}} dp_3$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\left[\sqrt{\mu^2-|\mathcal{F}_\tau|^2}(|\mathcal{F}_\tau|^2+2\mu^2) + 3|\mathcal{F}_\tau|\mu^2 \arctan\frac{|\mathcal{F}_\tau|}{\sqrt{\mu^2-|\mathcal{F}_\tau|^2}} + \frac{3|\mathcal{F}_\tau|\mu^2\pi}{2}\right] ,$$
$$n_{\tau<}^{(-)} = \frac{3}{2\pi^2}\int_0^{\mu-|\mathcal{F}_\tau|} dp_\perp p_\perp \int_0^{\sqrt{\mu^2-(|\mathcal{F}_\tau|+p_\perp)^2}} dp_3$$
$$= \frac{1}{4\pi^2}\left[\sqrt{\mu^2-|\mathcal{F}_\tau|^2}(|\mathcal{F}_\tau|^2+2\mu^2) + 3|\mathcal{F}_\tau|\mu^2 \arctan\frac{|\mathcal{F}_\tau|}{\sqrt{\mu^2-|\mathcal{F}_\tau|^2}} - \frac{3|\mathcal{F}_\tau|\mu^2\pi}{2}\right] . \quad (4.5)$$

For the case $\mathcal{F}_\tau > \mu$, there is no contribution to the spin polarization from $\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{p}\tau}^{(+)}$ due to integration range. Thus, we obtain only $n_{\tau>}^{(-)}$:

$$n_{\tau>}^{(-)} = 3\int \frac{d^3\boldsymbol{p}}{(2\pi)^3}\theta(\mu-\epsilon_{\boldsymbol{p}\tau}^{(-)}) = \frac{3\mu^2}{4\pi}\mathcal{F}_\tau . \quad (4.6)$$

It should be here noted that the fermi surface has a form of torus in the case $\mathcal{F}_\tau > \mu$, namely, $(\sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}-\mathcal{F}_\tau)^2+p_3^2=\mu^2$, whose volume is obtained as $2\pi\mathcal{F}_\tau \times \pi\mu^2$ where $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ and μ correspond to the major and minor radius of torus. For the case $\mathcal{F}_\tau < -\mu$, as is similar to Eq.(4.6), we obtain the following:

$$n_{\tau<}^{(+)} = \frac{3\mu^2}{4\pi}|\mathcal{F}_\tau| . \quad (4.7)$$

Next, let us consider the case $|\mathcal{F}_\tau| < \mu$. The spin polarization per unit volume is obtained by the difference between the quark number with the plus helicity and that




Fig. 2. The fermi surface is depicted for $\mathcal{F}_\tau > 0$. In this case, the minus helicity is dominated.




Fig. 3. The spin polarization of each flavor is depicted as a function of the quark chemical potential μ.

with minus helicity, as is shown in Fig.2. Further, we assume that $\mathcal{F}_u > 0$, $\mathcal{F}_d < 0$ and $\mathcal{F}_s < 0$. The spin polarization of each flavor, $\mathcal{S}_\tau$, can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{S}_u/(\hbar/2) = n^{(+)}_{u>} - n^{(-)}_{u>} = -\frac{3}{4\pi}\mathcal{F}_u\mu^2 \ . \tag{4.8}$$

Similarly,

$$\mathcal{S}_d/(\hbar/2) = n^{(+)}_{d<} - n^{(-)}_{d<} = \frac{3}{4\pi}|\mathcal{F}_d|\mu^2 \ , \quad \mathcal{S}_s/(\hbar/2) = n^{(+)}_{s<} - n^{(-)}_{s<} = \frac{3}{4\pi}|\mathcal{F}_s|\mu^2 \ . \tag{4.9}$$

Of course, the total spin polarization $\mathcal{S}$ is written as

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_u + \mathcal{S}_d + \mathcal{S}_s \ . \tag{4.10}$$

Figure 3 shows the numerical results. For d- and s-quarks, the spin polarization has almost the same magnitude. On the other hand, for u-quark, the spin polarization is opposite to d- and s-quarks. The total spin polarization is nearly equal to be zero. The reason is as follows: From the numerical calculation, the condensate F_3 and F_8 satisfy the relation $F_3 \approx \sqrt{3}F_8$ which makes the thermodynamic potential be minimum. Under this relation, $\mathcal{F}_u = -2\mathcal{F}_d$ and $\mathcal{F}_d = \mathcal{F}_s$ are satisfied. Thus, from (4.8) $\sim$ (4.10), $\mathcal{S} = 0$ is derived.

§5. The order of phase transition and the second order perturbation with respect to V_{SP}

In order to investigate the order of phase transition from CFL phase to SP phase, we treat the interaction term V_{SP} in the perturbation theory on the vacuum of the CFL phase. We neglect the contribution of negative-energy particle represented by $(\tilde{c}_{p\tau\alpha}, \tilde{c}^\dagger_{p\tau\alpha})$. Thus, in V_{SP} in (2.7), it is enough to pick up only the following term:

$$H_1 = \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta\tau\alpha} \mathcal{F}_\tau \frac{\sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}}{|\boldsymbol{p}|} c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta\tau\alpha} c_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta\tau\alpha} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta\tau\alpha} e_\tau c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta\tau\alpha} c_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta\tau\alpha} \ ,$$

$$e_\tau \equiv \mathcal{F}_\tau \frac{\sqrt{p_1^2+p_2^2}}{|\boldsymbol{p}|} \ . \tag{5.1}$$

The correction of the first-order perturbation with respect to H_1, namely $\langle\Phi|H_1|\Phi\rangle$, vanishes because the helicity η is different from each other like $\langle\Phi|d_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta;a}d^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta;a}|\Phi\rangle = 0$, which is led from $\langle\Phi|c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta\tau\alpha}c_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta\tau\alpha}|\Phi\rangle$. Thus, we need to calculate the second-order perturbation with respect to H_1. The correction of energy can be expressed as

$$E_{\rm corr} = \sum_i \frac{\langle\Phi|H_1|i\rangle\langle i|H_1|\Phi\rangle}{E_0 - E_i} \ , \tag{5.2}$$

where $|i\rangle$, E_0 and E_i represent the excited state, the vacuum energy and the excited energies, respectively. The term H_1 includes $c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta\alpha\tau}c_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta\alpha\tau}$. For example, in the case $\epsilon_p > \mu$ with $\tau = u$, the necessary term can be expressed in terms of the quasiparticle operators $(d_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta;i}, d^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta;i})$ such as

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_\alpha c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta\alpha u}c_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta\alpha u} &= c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta 1u}c_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta 1u} + c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta 2u}c_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta 2u} + c^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta 3u}c_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta 3u} \\
&= [x_p^{(1)}d^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta;1} + x_p^{(2)}d^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta;2} + x_p^{(3)}d^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta;3} \\
&\quad -(y_p^{(1)}d_{-\boldsymbol{p}\eta;1} + y_p^{(2)}d_{-\boldsymbol{p}\eta;2} + y_p^{(3)}d_{-\boldsymbol{p}\eta;3})] \\
&\quad \times[x_p^{(1)}d_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta;1} + x_p^{(2)}d_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta;2} + x_p^{(3)}d_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta;3} \\
&\qquad -(y_p^{(1)}d^\dagger_{-\boldsymbol{p}-\eta;1} + y_p^{(2)}d^\dagger_{-\boldsymbol{p}-\eta;2} + y_p^{(3)}d^\dagger_{-\boldsymbol{p}-\eta;3})] \\
&\quad +(X_p d^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta 2u} - Y_p d_{-\boldsymbol{p}\eta 1d})(X_p d_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta 2u} - Y_p d_{-\boldsymbol{p}-\eta 1d}) \\
&\quad +(X_p d^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta 3u} - Y_p d_{-\boldsymbol{p}\eta 1s})(X_p d_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta 3u} - Y_p d_{-\boldsymbol{p}-\eta 1s}) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{5.3}$$

Therefore, the intermediate states $|i\rangle$ are needed:

$$|i\rangle = |\boldsymbol{p}\eta ab\rangle \equiv d^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta;a}d^\dagger_{-\boldsymbol{p}-\eta;b}|\Phi\rangle \ . \qquad (\langle i| = \langle\Phi|d_{-\boldsymbol{p}-\eta;b}d_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta;a}) \tag{5.4}$$

Here, we remember $d_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta;4} = d_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta 2u}$ and so on. We summarize the necessary pieces to calculate the second order perturbative correction of energy for $\epsilon_p > \mu$ in Appendix B. Thus, for $\epsilon_p > \mu$, the second order perturbative correction, $E^>_{\rm corr}$, for the energy can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
E^>_{\rm corr} &= \sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta ab} \frac{\langle\Phi|H_1|\boldsymbol{p}\eta ab\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{p}\eta ab|H_1|\Phi\rangle}{E_0 - E_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta ab}} \\
&= -\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta(\epsilon>\mu)} \Bigg\{ \frac{(e_u+e_d+e_s)^2(x_p^{(1)}y_p^{(1)})^2}{2\sqrt{\bar\epsilon^2+4\Delta^2}} \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar\epsilon^2+4\Delta^2}+\sqrt{\bar\epsilon^2+\Delta^2}} \Big[(e_u-e_d)^2(x_p^{(2)}y_p^{(1)} + x_p^{(1)}y_p^{(2)})^2 \\
&\qquad\qquad + (e_u+e_d-2e_s)^2(x_p^{(1)}y_p^{(3)} + x_p^{(3)}y_p^{(1)})^2 \Big]
\end{aligned}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+\Delta^2}}\Big[(e_u+e_d)^2(x_p^{(2)}y_p^{(2)})^2+(e_u-e_d)^2(x_p^{(2)}y_p^{(3)}+x_p^{(3)}y_p^{(2)})^2$$
$$+(e_u+e_d+4e_s)^2(x_p^{(3)}y_p^{(3)})^2\Big]$$
$$+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+\Delta^2}}\left[(e_u+e_d)^2+(e_u+e_s)^2+(e_d+e_s)^2\right](X_pY_p)^2\Big\}\ . \quad (5.5)$$

As for the case $\epsilon_p<\mu$, the same results are derived. The intermediate states are adopted as

$$|\boldsymbol{p}\eta\overline{ab}\rangle=\bar{d}^\dagger_{-\boldsymbol{p}\eta;a}\bar{d}^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}-\eta;b}|\Phi\rangle\ . \quad (5.6)$$

Then, the structure of operators in H_1 for $\epsilon_p<\mu$ is the same as that of the case for $\epsilon_p>\mu$. Thus, the correction energy, $E^<_{\rm corr}$, for $\epsilon_p<\mu$ has the same form:

$$E^<_{\rm cror}=\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta ab}\frac{\langle\Phi|H_1|\boldsymbol{p}\eta\overline{ab}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{p}\eta\overline{ab}|H_1|\Phi\rangle}{E_0-E_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta\overline{ab}}}$$
$$=-\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta(\epsilon<\mu)}\Big\{\frac{(e_u+e_d+e_s)^2(\bar{x}_p^{(1)}\bar{y}_p^{(1)})^2}{2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+4\Delta^2}}$$
$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+4\Delta^2}+\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+\Delta^2}}\Big[(e_u-e_d)^2(\bar{x}_p^{(2)}\bar{y}_p^{(1)}+\bar{x}_p^{(1)}\bar{y}_p^{(2)})^2$$
$$+(e_u+e_d-2e_s)^2(\bar{x}_p^{(1)}\bar{y}_p^{(3)}+\bar{x}_p^{(3)}\bar{y}_p^{(1)})^2\Big]$$
$$+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+\Delta^2}}\Big[(e_u+e_d)^2(\bar{x}_p^{(2)}\bar{y}_p^{(2)})^2+(e_u-e_d)^2(\bar{x}_p^{(2)}\bar{y}_p^{(3)}+\bar{x}_p^{(3)}\bar{y}_p^{(2)})^2$$
$$+(e_u+e_d+4e_s)^2(\bar{x}_p^{(3)}\bar{y}_p^{(3)})^2\Big]$$
$$+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+\Delta^2}}\left[(e_u+e_d)^2+(e_u+e_s)^2+(e_d+e_s)^2\right](\bar{X}_p\bar{Y}_p)^2\Big\}\ . \quad (5.7)$$

Finally, we obtain the correction energy by the second-order perturbation as

$$E_{\rm corr}=E^>_{\rm corr}+E^<_{\rm corr}\ . \quad (5.8)$$

Here, by using $x_p^{(a)}$, $y_p^{(a)}$, X_p, Y_p in (3.7), $\bar{x}_p^{(a)}$, $\bar{y}_p^{(a)}$, $\bar{X}_p$, $\bar{Y}_p$ in (3.8), e_τ in (5.1) and $\mathcal{F}_\tau$ in (2.2), and by substituting the above quantities into $E_{\rm corr}$, we obtain

$$E_{\rm corr}=-2\left(\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\ (\epsilon>\mu)}+\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}\ (\epsilon<\mu)}\right)\frac{p_1^2+p_2^2}{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2}\Big\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+4\Delta^2}+\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+\Delta^2}}$$
$$\times\frac{1}{3}\left(1-\frac{\bar{\epsilon}^2+2\Delta^2}{\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+\Delta^2}\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+4\Delta^2}}\right)\cdot\left(F_3^2+F_8^2\right)$$
$$+\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+\Delta^2}}\cdot\frac{\Delta^2}{\bar{\epsilon}^2+\Delta^2}\left(\frac{5}{6}F_3^2+\frac{19}{12}F_8^2\right)\Big\}\ . \quad (5.9)$$

Table III. Numerical results

μ/GeV	$c_3 + 1/(2G)$	$c_8 + 1/(2G)$
0.40	0.015734	0.0076297
0.41	0.015304	0.0068182
0.42	0.014873	0.0060047
0.43	0.014427	0.0051920
0.44	0.014015	0.0043828
0.45	0.013592	0.0035803
0.4558	0.0133487	0.0031934
0.46	0.013174	0.0027882
0.47	0.012765	0.0020104
0.48	0.012367	0.0012519
0.49	0.011982	0.00051816
0.50	0.116142	−0.00018406

Then, the thermodynamic potential Φ can be obtained up to the second order of $V_{\rm SP}$, namely, up to the second order of F_k as

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + \frac{1}{V}\cdot E_{\rm corr} + \frac{1}{2G}(F_3^2 + F_8^2)\ . \tag{5.10}$$

The order of the phase transition form CFL to SP phases is determined through (5.9). Namely, from (5.9) and (5.10), for CFL phase, but with small F_3 and/or F_8, the thermodynamic potential Φ is obtained:

$$\Phi = \Phi_0 + \left(c_3 + \frac{1}{2G}\right) F_3^2 + \left(c_8 + \frac{1}{2G}\right) F_8^2 \tag{5.11}$$

up to the second order of F_k. Here, c_3 and c_8 are expressed as

$$\begin{aligned}
c_3 = &-\frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_0^{\Lambda} dp_3 \int_0^{\sqrt{\Lambda^2-p_3^2}} dp_\perp\ p_\perp \\
&\times\left[\frac{p_\perp^2}{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2-2\mu|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu^2+4\Delta^2}+\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2-2\mu|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu^2+\Delta^2}}\right.\right. \\
&\qquad\times\frac{1}{3}\left(1-\frac{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2-2\mu|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu^2+2\Delta^2}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2-2\mu|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu^2+4\Delta^2}\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2-2\mu|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu^2+\Delta^2}}\right) \\
&\qquad\left.\left.+\frac{\Delta^2}{2(|\boldsymbol{p}|^2-2\mu|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu^2+\Delta^2)^{3/2}}\cdot\frac{5}{6}\right\}\right]\ , \\
c_8 = &-\frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_0^{\Lambda} dp_3 \int_0^{\sqrt{\Lambda^2-p_3^2}} dp_\perp\ p_\perp \\
&\times\left[\frac{p_\perp^2}{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2}\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2-2\mu|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu^2+4\Delta^2}+\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2-2\mu|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu^2+\Delta^2}}\right.\right. \\
&\qquad\left.\left.\times\frac{1}{3}\left(1-\frac{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2-2\mu|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu^2+2\Delta^2}{\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2-2\mu|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu^2+4\Delta^2}\sqrt{|\boldsymbol{p}|^2-2\mu|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu^2+\Delta^2}}\right)\right.\right.
\end{aligned}$$




Fig. 4. The pressure is depicted as a function of the baryon number density divided by the normal nuclear density. The solid curve represents the realized phase.

$$+\frac{\Delta^2}{2(|\boldsymbol{p}|^2-2\mu|\boldsymbol{p}|+\mu^2+\Delta^2)^{3/2}}\cdot\frac{19}{12}\Big\}\Big]\,. \tag{5.12}$$

If $c_3+1/(2G)>0$ and $c_8+1/(2G)>0$, the phase transition from CFL to SP phases is of the first order because $F_3=F_8=0$ always gives a local minimum of the thermodynamic potential Φ_0. On the other hand, if $c_3+1/(2G)>0$ and $c_8+1/(2G)<0$ and vice versa, or $c_3+1/(2G)<0$ and $c_8+1/(2G)<0$, the phase transition from CFL to SP phases is maybe of the second order. As is seen in Table III, in the region of $\mu\leq 0.49$ GeV, especially, at $\mu=\mu_c$ $(=0.4558$ GeV), the coefficients $c_3+1/(2G)$ and $c_8+1/(2G)$ are positive. Thus, the phase transition may be of the first order.

From the above consideration, in Fig.4, the pressure is depicted as a function of the baryon number density divided by normal nuclear density, which has already given in Table II. The realized phase is represented by solid curve.

§6. Summary and concluding remarks

In this paper, it has been shown that the quark spin polarization for each flavor may occur in three-flavor case at high baryon density, which leads to the quark spin polarized phase, against the color-flavor locked phase due to the four-point tensor-type interaction between quarks in the Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model. In a certain region of quark chemical potential, the CFL phase is favorable energetically. However, as the quark chemical potential increases, the phase transition from CFL phase to spin polarized phase occurs. In our theoretical model, the phase transition occurs around the quark chemical potential being around 0.45 GeV under a certain parameter set. Based on the CFL phase, we have treated the tensor-type interaction term between quarks as a perturbation one. As a results, it has been shown that the phase transition may be of the first order up to the second-order perturbation.

In this paper, it has been shown that, owing to the four-point tensor-type interaction, the spin polarization may occur in the NJL model. The tensor-type interaction may come from the two-gluon exchange term between quarks in QCD. It is interesting to clarify the origin of the tensor-type interaction. In this paper, the total spin polarization is not realized, while the spin polarization with respect to each flavor actually occurs. It may be important to introduce the quark-mass splitting, namely, the strange quark mass should be taken into account, while we have ignored it in this paper. Further, if the chiral symmetry is explicitly broken, namely, the quark masses are not zero even in the chiral symmetric phase, the spin polarization originated from the pseudovector-type four-point interaction between quarks may exist. One of next interesting problems may be to investigate the interplay between the spin polarization from tensor-type interaction and that from the pseudovector-type interaction. As for a realistic calculation of the inner core of neutron stars or quark stars, the charge neutrality condition is important[12] and then, the chemical potential of each quark flavor should be introduced. This is one of future problems we consider, while we expect that the spin polarized phase may appear by the effects developed in this paper. Further, it is interesting to investigate the origin of strong magnetic field,[13] for example, in the core of neutron stars. It is suggested that, in general, the spin polarization leads to the ferromagnetization. In the core of neutron stars, it is expected that there exists the strong magnetic field. Then, if the high density quark matter is realized in the inner core of neutron stars or quark stars, the spin polarization may occur as is shown in this paper. Thus, one direction of investigations in high density quark matter is to understand whether the quark ferromagnetization is realized or not in the quark spin polarized phase. This will be one thing to solve.

Acknowledgment

One of the authors (Y.T.) would like to express his sincere thanks to Professor J. da Providência and Professor C. Providência, two of co-authors of this paper, for their warm hospitality during his visit to Coimbra in spring of 2014. One of the authors (Y.T.) is partially supported by the Grants-in-Aid of the Scientific Research (No.23540311, No.26400277) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology in Japan.

Appendix A

—— Mean field Hamiltonian without spin polarization in terms of the quasiparticle operators ——

The mean field Hamiltonian, $H_{\rm eff}$, in (3.1) can be expressed in terms of the quasiparticle operators $d_{p:i}$, $d^{\dagger}_{p;i}$, $\bar{d}_{\bar{p};i}$ and $\bar{d}^{\dagger}_{\bar{p};i}$. The result is as follows:

$$H_{\rm eff} = H_{>} + H_{<} + V \cdot \frac{3\Delta^2}{2G_c} \ ,$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_> = & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p>\mu)} (4\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 Y_p^2 + 4\Delta X_p Y_p \phi_p) \times 3 \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p>\mu)} \left[\bar{\epsilon}_p \cdot 6(y_p^{(1)2} + y_p^{(2)2} + y_p^{(3)2}) + 2\Delta(-6x_p^{(1)}y_p^{(1)} + 2x_p^{(2)}y_p^{(2)} + 6x_p^{(3)}y_p^{(3)})\phi_p\right] \\
& + \sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p>\mu)} \Bigg\{ \left[\bar{\epsilon}_p \cdot 3(x_p^{(1)2} - y_p^{(1)2}) + 12\Delta x_p^{(1)} y_p^{(1)} \phi_p\right] d_{p;1}^\dagger d_{p;1} \\
& \qquad + \left[\bar{\epsilon}_p \cdot 2(x_p^{(2)2} - y_p^{(2)2}) - 4\Delta x_p^{(2)} y_p^{(2)} \phi_p\right] d_{p;2}^\dagger d_{p;2} \\
& \qquad + \left[\bar{\epsilon}_p \cdot 6(x_p^{(3)2} - y_p^{(3)2}) - 12\Delta x_p^{(3)} y_p^{(3)} \phi_p\right] d_{p;3}^\dagger d_{p;3} \\
& \qquad + \sum_{a=4}^{9} \left[\bar{\epsilon}_p \cdot (X_p^2 - Y_p^2) - 2\Delta X_p Y_p \phi_p\right] d_{p;a}^\dagger d_{p;a} \Bigg\} \\
& + \sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p>\mu)} \Bigg\{ \left[-6\bar{\epsilon}_p x_p^{(1)} y_p^{(1)} + 6\Delta(x_p^{(1)2} - y_p^{(1)2})\phi_p\right] (d_{p;1}^\dagger d_{\bar{p};1}^\dagger + d_{\bar{p};1} d_{p1}) \\
& \qquad + \left[-4\bar{\epsilon}_p x_p^{(2)} y_p^{(2)} - 2\Delta(x_p^{(2)2} - y_p^{(2)2})\phi_p\right] (d_{p;2}^\dagger d_{\bar{p};2}^\dagger + d_{\bar{p};2} d_{p2}) \\
& \qquad + \left[-12\bar{\epsilon}_p x_p^{(3)} y_p^{(3)} - 6\Delta(x_p^{(3)2} - y_p^{(3)2})\phi_p\right] (d_{p;3}^\dagger d_{\bar{p};3}^\dagger + d_{\bar{p};3} d_{p3}) \\
& \qquad + \left[-2\bar{\epsilon}_p X_p Y_p - \Delta(X_p^2 - Y_p^2)\phi_p\right] \\
& \qquad\quad \times (d_{p2u}^\dagger d_{\bar{p}1d}^\dagger + d_{p1d}^\dagger d_{\bar{p}2u}^\dagger + d_{\bar{p}1d} d_{p2u} + d_{\bar{p}2u} d_{p1d} + d_{p3u}^\dagger d_{\bar{p}1s}^\dagger + d_{p1s}^\dagger d_{\bar{p}3u}^\dagger \\
& \qquad\quad + d_{\bar{p}1s} d_{p3u} + d_{\bar{p}3u} d_{p1s} + d_{p3d}^\dagger d_{\bar{p}2s}^\dagger + d_{p2s}^\dagger d_{\bar{p}3d}^\dagger + d_{\bar{p}2s} d_{p3d} + d_{\bar{p}3d} d_{p2s}) \Bigg\}, \\
H_< = & \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p<\mu)} (4\bar{\epsilon}_p^2 \bar{X}_p^2 - 4\Delta \bar{X}_p \bar{Y}_p \phi_p) \times 3 \\
& + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p<\mu)} \left[\bar{\epsilon}_p \cdot 2(3\bar{x}_p^{(1)2} + 2\bar{x}_p^{(2)2} + 6\bar{x}_p^{(3)2}) + 2\Delta(6\bar{x}_p^{(1)} y_p^{(1)} - 2\bar{x}_p^{(2)} \bar{y}_p^{(2)} - 6\bar{x}_p^{(3)} \bar{y}_p^{(3)})\phi_p\right] \\
& + \sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p<\mu)} \Bigg\{ \left[\bar{\epsilon}_p \cdot 3(\bar{y}_p^{(1)2} - \bar{x}_p^{(1)2}) - 12\Delta \bar{x}_p^{(1)} \bar{y}_p^{(1)} \phi_p\right] \bar{d}_{p;1}^\dagger \bar{d}_{p;1} \\
& \qquad + \left[\bar{\epsilon}_p \cdot 2(\bar{y}_p^{(2)2} - \bar{x}_p^{(2)2}) + 4\Delta \bar{x}_p^{(2)} \bar{y}_p^{(2)} \phi_p\right] \bar{d}_{p;2}^\dagger \bar{d}_{p;2} \\
& \qquad + \left[\bar{\epsilon}_p \cdot 6(\bar{y}_p^{(3)2} - \bar{x}_p^{(3)2}) + 12\Delta \bar{x}_p^{(3)} \bar{y}_p^{(3)} \phi_p\right] \bar{d}_{p;3}^\dagger \bar{d}_{p;3} \\
& \qquad + \sum_{a=4}^{9} \left[\bar{\epsilon}_p \cdot (\bar{Y}_p^2 - \bar{X}_p^2) + 2\Delta \bar{X}_p \bar{Y}_p \phi_p\right] \bar{d}_{p;a}^\dagger \bar{d}_{p;a} \Bigg\} \\
& + \sum_{p\ (\epsilon_p<\mu)} \Bigg\{ \left[-6\bar{\epsilon}_p \bar{x}_p^{(1)} \bar{y}_p^{(1)} + 6\Delta(\bar{x}_p^{(1)2} - \bar{y}_p^{(1)2})\phi_p\right] (\bar{d}_{\bar{p};1} \bar{d}_{p;1} + \bar{d}_{p;1}^\dagger \bar{d}_{\bar{p};1}^\dagger) \\
& \qquad + \left[-4\bar{\epsilon}_p \bar{x}_p^{(2)} \bar{y}_p^{(2)} - 2\Delta(\bar{x}_p^{(2)2} - \bar{y}_p^{(2)2})\phi_p\right] (\bar{d}_{\bar{p};2} \bar{d}_{p;2} + \bar{d}_{p;2}^\dagger \bar{d}_{\bar{p};2}^\dagger)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+\Big[-12\bar{\epsilon}_p\bar{x}_p^{(3)}\bar{y}_p^{(3)}-6\Delta(\bar{x}_p^{(3)2}-\bar{y}_p^{(3)2})\phi_p\Big]\,(\bar{d}_{\bar{p};3}\bar{d}_{p;3}+\bar{d}^\dagger_{p;3}\bar{d}^\dagger_{\bar{p};3})$$
$$+\big[-2\bar{\epsilon}_p\bar{X}_p\bar{Y}_p-\Delta(\bar{X}_p^2-\bar{Y}_p^2)\phi_p\big]$$
$$\times(\bar{d}_{\bar{p}2u}\bar{d}_{p1d}+\bar{d}_{\bar{p}1d}\bar{d}_{p2u}+\bar{d}^\dagger_{p1d}\bar{d}^\dagger_{\bar{p}2u}+\bar{d}^\dagger_{p2u}\bar{d}^\dagger_{\bar{p}1d}+\bar{d}_{\bar{p}3u}\bar{d}_{p1s}+\bar{d}_{\bar{p}1s}\bar{d}_{p3u}$$
$$+\bar{d}^\dagger_{p1s}\bar{d}^\dagger_{\bar{p}3u}+\bar{d}^\dagger_{p3u}\bar{d}^\dagger_{\bar{p}1s}+\bar{d}_{\bar{p}3d}\bar{d}_{p2s}+\bar{d}_{\bar{p}2s}\bar{d}_{p3d}+\bar{d}^\dagger_{p2s}\bar{d}^\dagger_{\bar{p}3d}+\bar{d}^\dagger_{p3d}\bar{d}^\dagger_{\bar{p}2s})\Big\}. \quad (A\cdot1)$$

Substituting $x_p^{(a)}$ and so on, we obtain the simple form in (3.12).

Appendix B

—— Necessary matrix elements in the second order perturbation theory ——

We collect the necessary pieces to calculate the second-order correction of energy, (5.2).

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle\Phi|H_1|\boldsymbol{p}\eta 11\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{p}\eta 11|H_1|\Phi\rangle\\
&=\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}'\eta'}\langle\Phi|(-x^{(1)}_{p'}y^{(1)}_{p'})(e_u+e_d+e_s)d_{-\boldsymbol{p}'\eta';1}d_{\boldsymbol{p}'-\eta';1}\cdot d^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta;1}d^\dagger_{-\boldsymbol{p}-\eta;1}|\Phi\rangle\\
&\quad\times\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}'\eta'}\langle\Phi|d_{-\boldsymbol{p}-\eta;1}d_{\boldsymbol{p}\eta;1}(-x^{(1)}_{p'}y^{(1)}_{p'})(e_u+e_d+e_s)d^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{p}'\eta';1}d^\dagger_{-\boldsymbol{p}'-\eta';1}|\Phi\rangle\\
&\qquad\qquad=(x^{(1)}_py^{(1)}_p)^2(e_u+e_d+e_s)^2\ ,\\
&\qquad\qquad E_0-E_{11}=-2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+4\Delta^2}\ ,\\
&\langle\Phi|H_1|\boldsymbol{p}\eta 12\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{p}\eta 12|H_1|\Phi\rangle=(x^{(2)}_py^{(1)}_p+x^{(1)}_py^{(2)}_p)^2(-e_u+e_d)^2\ ,\\
&\qquad\qquad E_0-E_{12}=-\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+4\Delta^2}-\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+\Delta^2}\ ,\\
&\langle\Phi|H_1|\boldsymbol{p}\eta 13\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{p}\eta 13|H_1|\Phi\rangle=(x^{(1)}_py^{(3)}_p+x^{(3)}_py^{(1)}_p)^2(-e_u-e_d+2e_s)^2\ ,\\
&\qquad\qquad E_0-E_{13}=-\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+4\Delta^2}-\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+\Delta^2}\ ,\\
&\langle\Phi|H_1|\boldsymbol{p}\eta 22\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{p}\eta 22|H_1|\Phi\rangle=(x^{(2)}_py^{(2)}_p)^2(e_u+e_d)^2\ ,\\
&\qquad\qquad E_0-E_{22}=-2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+\Delta^2}\ ,\\
&\langle\Phi|H_1|\boldsymbol{p}\eta 23\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{p}\eta 23|H_1|\Phi\rangle=(x^{(2)}_py^{(3)}_p+x^{(3)}_py^{(2)}_p)^2(-e_u+e_d)^2\ ,\\
&\qquad\qquad E_0-E_{23}=-2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+4\Delta^2}\ ,\\
&\langle\Phi|H_1|\boldsymbol{p}\eta 33\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{p}\eta 33|H_1|\Phi\rangle=(x^{(3)}_py^{(3)}_p)^2(e_u+e_d+4e_s)^2\ ,\\
&\qquad\qquad E_0-E_{33}=-2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+4\Delta^2}\ ,\\
&\langle\Phi|H_1|\boldsymbol{p}\eta 45\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{p}\eta 45|H_1|\Phi\rangle=(X_pY_p)^2(e_u+e_d)^2\ ,\\
&\qquad\qquad E_0-E_{45}=-2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+4\Delta^2}\ ,\\
&\langle\Phi|H_1|\boldsymbol{p}\eta 67\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{p}\eta 67|H_1|\Phi\rangle=(X_pY_p)^2(e_u+e_s)^2\ ,\\
&\qquad\qquad E_0-E_{67}=-2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+4\Delta^2}\ ,\\
&\langle\Phi|H_1|\boldsymbol{p}\eta 89\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{p}\eta 89|H_1|\Phi\rangle=(X_pY_p)^2(e_d+e_s)^2\ ,\\
&\qquad\qquad E_0-E_{89}=-2\sqrt{\bar{\epsilon}^2+4\Delta^2}\ . \qquad (B\cdot1)
\end{aligned}$$

References

1) K. Fukushima and T. Hatsuda, Rep. Prog. Phys. **74**, 014001 (2011).
2) M. G. Alford, A. Schmitt, K. Rajagopal and T. Schafer, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80**, 1455 (2008) and references cited therein.
3) T. Tatsumi, Phys. Lett. B **489**, 280 (2000).
 T. Maruyama and T. Tatsumi, Nucl. Phys. A **693**, 710 (2001).
 E. Nakano, T. Maruyama and T. Tatsumi, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 105001 (2003).
4) S. Maedan, Prog. Theor. Phys. **118**, 729 (2007).
5) Y. Tsue, J. da Providência, C. Providências and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **128**, 507 (2012).
6) Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. **122**, 345 (1961), Phys. Rev **124**, 246 (1961).
7) H. Bohr, P. K. Panda, C. Providência and J. da Providência, Int. J. Mod. Phys. E **22**, 1350019 (2013).
8) Y. Tsue, J. da Providência, C. Providências, M. Yamamura and H. Bhor, Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2013**, 103D01 (2013).
9) M. Kobayashi and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. **44**, 1422 (1970).
 G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. D **14**, 3432 (1976).
10) H. Bohr, C. Providência and J. da Providência, Eur. Phys. J. A **41**, 355 (2009).
11) H. Bohr, P. K. Panda, C. Providência and J. da Providência, Braz. J. Phys. **42**, 68 (2012).
12) M. Alford and K. Rajagopal, JHEP **0206**, 031 (2002).
13) A. Iwazaki, Phys. Rev. D **72**, 114003 (2005).
 E. J. Ferrer, V. de la Incera, I. Portillo and M. Quiroz, arXiv:1311.3400v3 (2014).